

Follow-Up Table on the TARGET2 User Testing Guide for Migration

For release V2.2, 01/08/2007



Referring to TGT/2007/226

Comments:	<i>Old (situation as in V2.1):</i>	<i>New (in V2.2):</i>
1	n/a	2 new CON test cases for HAM have been added. CON-HAM + CON-CBC
2	CON-PM1 including footnote	CON-PM1 excluding footnote (the footnote could cause confusion and has therefore been removed)
3	IOP-SF-110 + IOP-SF-120 Field description: ...text missing...	IOP-SF-110 + IOP-SF-120 Field description: 110: ...addition of “from the PM/HAM account” to the overnight... 120: ...addition of “to the PM/HAM account” needs to be keyed-in
4	IOP-PML-010 + IOP-PML-020 Field description: ...(screen Limits/ standing order)	IOP-PML-010 + IOP-PML-020 Field description: ...(screen Limits/ current)
5	Within the following test cases; IOP-PM-165”a customer payment”... IOP-PM-535....”CYPAYMATE/FE”...	Within the following test cases; IOP-PM-165, replaced by the wording:” an interbank payment ”... IOP-PM-535, replaced by the wording: ...” CUPAYMTE/FE ”...

	IOP-HAM-810 until IOP-HAM-880....TRGTXEH0XXX....	IOP-HAM-810 until IOP-HAM-880, replaced by.... TRGTXEC0XXX
6	Chapter 1.3, mistake in picture (live date), and a table amendment required (no static data, but reference to the calendar indicated), also applicable for chapter 4.4 and 6.4.	Chapter 1.3, the picture and table have been updated, also applicable for chapter 4.4 (footnote and table) and chapter 6.4, where the table has been updated with respect to reflect correctly the business test cases (deleted BT-AT2-1 to BT-AT2-3). Chapter 3.4, list enhanced with two further HAM test cases.